



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/741,304	12/18/2003	Naveen Kumar Vandanapu	42P17107	4359
8791	7590	08/01/2005	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030				JEANGLAUME, JEAN BRUNER
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
				2819

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/741,304	VANDANAPU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Jeanglaude	2819	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on amendment filed on June 20 2005.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) _____ is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 9-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 3,8 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____.	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.

Response To Amendments/Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on June 20, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding the applicant's argument on page 8, that "any part of the reference, refer to *identifying one of the tracks and indicating the tracks*", the Examiner maintains that Benno discloses a **method for encoding data that identifies one of the multiple tracks for each frame and generating a track indicator to a decoder the identified track for both subframes**. [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 504; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded] as such Benno is thereby disclosed a **method for encoding data that identifies one of the multiple tracks for each frame and generating a track indicator to a decoder the identified track for both subframes**. Therefore, the argument is moot.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9 – 15, 17 - 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benno (US Patent Number 6,728,669).

4. Regarding claims 1, 9, Benno discloses an article of manufacture and method (figs. 4 – 10) comprising a machine-accessible medium having content to encode a first and a second subframe of a frame of data, each subframe having multiple tracks; identify one of the multiple tracks for each subframe; and generate a track indicator to indicate a decoder the identified track for both subframe. [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 504; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded].

5. Regarding claims 4, 10, Benno discloses an article of manufacture and method (figs. 4 – 10), wherein a track has pulse positions (402, fig. 5; 506, fig. 6) wherein the content to provide instructions to cause the device to encode subframes having multiple tracks comprises the content to provide instructions to cause the device to encode subframes having at least one track with an additional pulse position as compared to another track (fig. 10), and wherein the content to provide instructions to cause the device to identify one of the multiple tracks for each subframe comprises the content to provide instructions to cause the device to identify the at least one track with the additional pulse position (fig. 10) [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes

a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

6. Regarding claims 11, Benno discloses an article of manufacture (figs. 4 – 10), wherein the content to provide instructions to cause the device to encode the subframes having multiple tracks comprises the content to provide instructions to cause the device to encode subframes having multiple tracks in a sequence of track locations (910, fig. 10), and wherein the content to provide instructions to cause the device to identify one of the multiple tracks for each subframe comprises the content to provide instructions to cause the device to identify the track location of one of the multiple tracks for each subframe (908, fig. 10), and wherein the content to provide instructions to cause the device to generate the track indicator (track locations as shown in figs. 5, 6) comprises the content to provide instructions to cause the device to generate a set of bits that corresponds to the track locations for all of the identified tracks for both subframes (figs. 5, 6) [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and

the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

7. Regarding claim 13, Benno discloses an encoding apparatus (figs. 4 – 10) comprising: a receiver to receive a data stream [the input data is received at 710 of fig. 8); processing logic (710, fig. 8) to encode the data stream into a frame of data, the frame of data to have a first and a second subframe, each subframe to have multiple tracks, and the processing logic to identify one of the multiple tracks for each subframe of the received frame of data, and generate a track indicator having information to indicate the identified track for both subframes [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 504; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded]; and a transmitter (602, fig. 7) responsive to the processing logic to transmit the generated track indicator [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 504; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal

frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

8. Regarding claim 14, Benno discloses an encoding apparatus (figs. 4 – 10) wherein the processing logic encodes a frame of data having multiple tracks with pulse positions, and encodes at least one track to have an additional pulse position as compared to another track, and wherein the processing logic identifies the at least one track with the additional pulse position [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

9. Regarding claim 15, Benno discloses an encoding apparatus (figs. 4 – 10) wherein the processing logic (710, fig. 8) encodes a frame having subframes having multiple tracks in a sequence of track locations and identifies the track location of one of the multiple tracks for each subframe, and wherein the processing logic generates a set of bits that corresponds the track locations for all of the identified tracks for both subframes. [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404,

406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

10. Regarding claim 17, Benno discloses a coding system (figs. 4 – 10) comprising: a speech encoder [vocoder, fig. 7] having: a receiver to receive a data stream [input data is received at 710 of fig. 8]; processing logic (710, fig. 8) to encode the data stream into a frame of data, the frame of data to have a first and a second subframe, each subframe to have multiple tracks, and the processing logic to identify one of the multiple tracks for each subframe of the received frame of data, and generate a track indicator having information to indicate the identified track for both subframes [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].; and a transmitter (602, fig. 8) responsive to the processing logic to transmit the generated track indicator (fig. 8), and a transmission line (606, fig. 7) coupled with the transmitter to transport the generated track indicator.

11. Regarding claim 18, Benno discloses a coding system (figs. 4 – 10) wherein the processing logic (710, fig. 8) encodes a frame of data having multiple tracks with pulse positions, and encodes at least one track to have an additional pulse position as compared to another track, and wherein the processing logic identifies the at least one track with the additional pulse position[Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

12. Regarding claims 6, 19, Benno discloses coding system and method (figs. 4 – 10) wherein the processing logic (710, fig. 8) encodes a frame having subframes having multiple tracks in a sequence of track locations and identifies the track location of one of the multiple tracks for each subframe, and wherein the processing logic generates a set of bits that corresponds the track locations for all of the identified tracks for both subframes (figs. 4 – 6; col. 1 ,lines 33 - 37) [Fig. 4 in Benno discloses a frame 400 which includes a number of subframes 354, 356, 358. These subframes have a plurality of tracks shown in figs. 5 and 6; the multiple tracks are being identified as well in figs. 5 and 6 as noted as 404, 406, 408, 502, 5046; the tracks are being indicated as the track positions 402, 506 in figs. 5, 6 and the identified first and second pulse positions are

encoded. Also, as noted in fig. 10, the signals have been splitted / divided into signal frames of which pulse are located in the tracks and encoded identified pulse positions in index of a codebook].

13. Regarding claims 7, 12, 16, 20, Benno discloses a coding system [article of manufacturing] and method (figs. 4 – 10), wherein the processing logic (710, fig. 8) generates a set of bits that corresponds to an ordered pair (col. 1, lines 33 – 38)[as seen vododers generates a number of bits, and figs. 5, 6 as shown have two tracks that have an ordered pair which can be represented as binary numbers], a value of the first member of the pair to indicate the identified track in the first subframe (col. 1, lines 33 – 38), and the value of the second member of the pair to indicate the identified track in the second subframe (col. 1, lines 33 – 38)[as seen vododers generates a number of bits, and figs. 5, 6 as shown have two tracks that have an ordered pair which can be represented as binary numbers and as seen in fig. 5, 6, the second number will identify the track] (figs. 4, 5, 10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

15. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benno (US Patent Number 6,728,669) in view of Benyassine et al. (A Silence Compression

Scheme For Use With G.729 Optimized for V.70 Digital Simultaneous Voice and Data Applications, IEEE).

16. Regarding claim 5, Benno discloses all the limitations as discussed above except a method wherein the subframes comprises the subframes according to the ITU-T G.729E Standard. However, Benyassine et al., in a related art, discloses a system and method wherein the ITU-T G.729E Standard is used as a coding frames/subframes (page 64, first paragraph)[note that an algorithm was designed to meet the need for an advanced speech coding technology and the speech coding includes frames and subframes]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Benno's system with that of Benyassine et al. in order to improve performance in the system.

17. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Benno (US Patent Number 6,728,669).

18. Regarding claim 2, Benno discloses all the limitations as discussed above but does not explicitly disclose a method for encoding data wherein encoding the subframes having multiple tracks comprises encoding subframes, each having a number of tracks, the number being other than a power of two and wherein the encoding the subframes having a non-power of two number of tracks comprises encoding subframes having 5 tracks. However, it is noted in Benno, (406, fig. 5), the number of tracks is a multiple of 4 which includes a number of tracks wherein the number being other than a power of two (for instance 16, 32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that Benno's system would perform the

same function as the claimed invention since Benno discloses in figs. 5, 6 the structural features that would achieve the same end result.

Allowable Subject Matter

19. Claims 3, 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

20. Reasons for allowing these claims will be provided in the next office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Jeanglaude whose telephone number is 571-272-1804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 A. M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callaham can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jean Bruner Jeanglaude

Jean Bruner Jeanglaude
Primary Examiner
July 29, 2005